arXiv:0905.0342v1 [math.AG] 4 May 2009

# Non-vanishing forms in projective space over finite fields

Samuel Lundqvist

May 4, 2009

**Abstract**

We consider a subset of projective space over a finite field and give bounds on the minimal degree of a non-vanishing form with respect to this subset.

## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{X} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$ be a set of points in $\mathbb{P}^n(\Bbbk)$, where $\Bbbk$ is a field. We say that a form $f$ in $\Bbbk[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ is non-vanishing with respect to $\mathbb{X}$ if $f(p_i) \neq 0$ for all $i$. When $\Bbbk$ is an infinite field, then there is an infinite number of linear forms which are non-vanishing on $\mathbb{X}$. But consider for instance $\mathbb{X} = \{(1:0), (0:1), (1:1)\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $\mathbb{F}_2$ denotes the finite field with two elements. There are three linear forms in $\mathbb{F}_2[x_0, x_1]$: $x_0, x_1$ and $x_0 + x_1$. We have

$$x_0((0:1)) = x_1((1:0)) = (x_0 + x_1)((1:1)) = 0.$$

Thus, there is no linear non-vanishing form with respect to $\mathbb{X}$.

When $\mathbb{X} \subseteq P^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $\mathbb{F}_q$ denotes a field with $q$ elements, let $\operatorname{DegNz}(\mathbb{X})$ denote the least degree of a non-vanishing form $f$. The aim of this paper is to give bounds on $\operatorname{DegNz}(\mathbb{X})$ and combining Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.2 we show

**Theorem 1.1.**

$$d_1 \leq \operatorname{DegNz}(\mathbb{X}) \leq d_2,$$

*where $d_1$ is the biggest integer such that $q^{n-d_1+2} + \cdots + q^n < |\mathbb{X}|$ and where $d_2$ is the least integer such that $|\mathbb{X}| \leq q + \cdots + q^{d_2}$.*

In the language of commutative algebra, a form $f$ in $\Bbbk[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ is non-vanishing with respect to a set of projective points $\mathbb{X}$ if and only if $[f]$ is a non-zero divisor in the quotient ring $\Bbbk[x_0, \ldots, x_n]/I(\mathbb{X})$, where $I(\mathbb{X})$ is the vanishing ideal with respect to $\mathbb{X}$ and [f] denotes the equivalence class in $\Bbbk[x_0, \ldots, x_n]/I(\mathbb{X})$ containing $f$. The problem of finding a non-vanishing form came from an algorithm to compute the variety of an ideal of projective dimension zero [3]. A crucial point of the algorithm is to find a minimal degree of a non-zero divisor in the quotient ring $\Bbbk[x_0, \ldots, x_n]/I(\mathbb{X})$.

It should be mentioned that the existence of a non-zero divisor could be rephrased in terms of the union of finite subspaces of $\Bbbk[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ as follows.

Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a set of projective points and let $I(p_i)$ be the set of all polynomials vanishing on $p_i$. Let $A = \cup_i I(p_i)$ and let $d$ be the least positive degree such that $\Bbbk[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_d$ is not contained in $A$. Then $d = \mathrm{DegNz}(\mathbb{X})$.

## 2 Preliminaries

To give the bounds, we use Warning's theorem (Satz 3 in [4]), which states that if the equation $f = 0$, where $f$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is an element of degree $d < n$, has a solution, then it has at least $q^{n-d}$ solutions. For simplicity, we state the projective version of this result as a lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $f$ be a form in $\mathbb{F}_q[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ of degree $d < n+1$. Then there are at least $1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-d}$ solutions to $f = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.*

*Proof.* Removing the trivial solution, we are left with at least $q^{n-d+1} - 1$ zeroes. Thus, the number of projective solutions is at least $(q^{n-d+1} - 1)/(q - 1) = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-d}$. □

The requirement on $d$ in Warning's theorem is sharp. Indeed, Lang [2] gives a construction of a form of degree $n+1$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ which is non-vanishing with respect to $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ — it is the norm of the element $x_0e_0 + \cdots + x_ne_n$, where $e_0, \ldots, e_n$ is a basis for an extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n+1}}$ of degree $n+1$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Recall that the norm of an element $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n+1}}$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_q(\alpha) \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_q^2(\alpha) \cdots \mathrm{Fr}_q^n(\alpha),$$

where $\mathrm{Fr}_q$ is the Frobenius map

$$\mathrm{Fr}_q : \mathbb{F}_{q^{n+1}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{n+1}}, \alpha \mapsto \alpha^q.$$

**Example 2.2.** Suppose that we want to find a quadratic non-vanishing form with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Since $1 + y + y^2$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_2[y]$, a basis for the extension field $F_4$ of $F_2$ is $\{1, y\}$. We get

$$\mathrm{Nm}(x_0+x_1y) = (x_0+x_1y)\cdot\mathrm{Fr}_2(x_0+x_1y) = (x_0+x_1y)\cdot(\mathrm{Fr}_2(x_0)+\mathrm{Fr}_2(x_1)\,\mathrm{Fr}_2(y))$$

$$= (x_0 + x_1y) \cdot (x_0 + x_1(1 + y)) = (x_0 + x_1y)(x_0 + x_1 + x_1y) = x_0^2 + x_0x_1 + x_1^2.$$

## 3 The upper bound

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and let $d$ be the least integer such that $|\mathbb{X}| \leq q + \cdots + q^d$ . Then $\mathrm{DegNz}(\mathbb{X}) \leq d$. This bound is the best possible.*

*Proof.* Induction on $n$. Consider first $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We have $|\mathbb{X}| \leq q + 1$. When $|\mathbb{X}| = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, it follows from Lang's construction that there is a quadratic form which is non-vanishing on $\mathbb{X}$. If $|\mathbb{X}| < q + 1$, we need to show that there is a linear form which is non-vanishing on the points. Let $p$ a point not in $|\mathbb{X}|$ and consider coordinates $x_0, x_1$ such that $p = (0 : 1)$. It is then clear that $x_0$ evaluated at any point in $\mathbb{X}$ is non-zero.

Let now $\mathbb{X}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for $n > 1$. If $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then there is a non-vanishing form of degree $n+1$ due to Lang's construction. Since $|\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)| =$

$1 + q + \cdots + q^n$, it is clear that the least integer $d$ such that $q + \cdots + q^d \geq |\mathbb{X}|$ equals $n + 1$.

If $\mathbb{X}$ is a proper subset of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, pick a point $p$ in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \mathbb{X}$. It is possible to choose coordinates $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ such that $p = (0 : \cdots : 0 : 1)$. With respect to these coordinates, the map $\pi : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $(a_0 : \cdots : a_n) \mapsto (a_0 : \cdots : a_{n-1})$ is well defined. By the induction assumption, there is a non-vanishing form $f$ of degree at most $d$, with respect to the set $\pi(\mathbb{X}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $d$ is the least integer such that $q^d + \cdots + q \geq |\pi(\mathbb{X})|$. But $\bar{f}(x) = f(\pi(x))$ for all points $x \in \mathbb{X}$, where $\bar{f}$ is the image of $f$ with respect to the natural embedding of $\mathbb{F}_q[x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$ into $\mathbb{F}_q[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$. Thus, $\bar{f}$ is non-vanishing on $\mathbb{X}$. Since $|\pi(\mathbb{X})| \leq |\mathbb{X}|$, the first part of the theorem follows.

For the second part, consider a set $\mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $q + \cdots + q^n$ elements. Let $f$ be a non-vanishing form of degree $d$ with respect to $\mathbb{X}$. By the first part of the theorem, $d \leq n$. By Lemma 2.1, the number of points where $f$ is vanishing is at least $1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-d}$. Hence, we must have $d = n$. It follows that the bound is sharp. □

**Example 3.2.** Consider $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and the point set $\mathbb{X} = \{(1 : 1 : 1 : 0), (0 : 0 : 0 : 1), (1 : 0 : 0 : 0), (0 : 1 : 0 : 0), (0 : 0 : 1 : 0), (1 : 1 : 1 : 1)\}$ with respect to some coordinates $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3$. We have $2^2 + 2 = 6$ and hence, by Theorem 3.1, there is a form of degree at most two which is non-vanishing on $\mathbb{X}$. To find it, pick the point $(0 : 0 : 1 : 1) \notin \mathbb{X}$. With respect to the linear change $y_0 = x_0$, $y_1 = x_1$, $y_2 = x_2 + x_3$ and $y_3 = x_3$ of coordinates, this point reads $(0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$. Thus, with respect to the coordinates $y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3$, $\mathbb{X} = \{(1 : 1 : 1 : 0), (0 : 0 : 1 : 1), (1 : 0 : 0 : 0), (0 : 1 : 0 : 0), (0 : 0 : 1 : 0), (1 : 1 : 0 : 1)\}$ and $(0 : 0 : 0 : 1) \notin \mathbb{X}$.

We project down to $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and get the points $\pi(X) = \{(1 : 1 : 1), (0 : 0 : 1), (1 : 0 : 0), (0 : 1 : 0), (1 : 1 : 0)\}$. Notice that $\pi(0 : 0 : 1 : 1) = \pi(0 : 0 : 1 : 0)$. Now we are looking for a non-vanishing form with respect to these five points in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. We notice that the point $p' = (1 : 0 : 1)$ is missing, so we consider the linear change of coordinates $z_0 = y_0 + y_2, z_1 = y_1, z_2 = y_2$ for which $p' = (0 : 0 : 1)$, and we get $\pi(X) = \{(0 : 1 : 1), (1 : 0 : 1), (1 : 0 : 0), (0 : 1 : 0), (1 : 1 : 0)\}$ with respect to these coordinates. We project down to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_2)$ to get the points $\{(0 : 1), (1 : 0), (1 : 1)\}$. From Example 2.2, we know that $z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_0 z_1$ is non-vanishing and hence $(y_0 + y_2)^2 + (y_0 + y_2)y_1 + y_1^2$ is non-vanishing on $P$ and finally we get

$$(x_0 + x_2 + x_3)^2 + (x_0 + x_2 + x_3)x_1 + x_1^2,$$

a quadratic form which is non-vanishing on $\mathbb{X}$.

Note that to actually construct a non-vanishing form, we have to compute a norm-form. Thus, our method relies on finding an irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q[y]$. We refer the reader to the paper [1], where algorithms to construct irreducibles are discussed.

## 4 The lower bound

**Lemma 4.1.** *There is a point set $\mathbb{X}$, with $|\mathbb{X}| = q^{n-d+1} + \cdots + q^n$, such that* $\mathrm{DegNz}(\mathbb{X}) \leq d$.

*Proof.* Let $\tau$ be the embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n-d}$ into $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by

$$(a_0 : \cdots : a_{n-d}) \mapsto (\underbrace{0 : \cdots : 0}_{d \text{ times}} : a_0 : \cdots : a_{n-d}).$$

Let

$$\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \tau(\mathbb{P}^{n-d}(\mathbb{F}_q)).$$

We can now define a map $\pi$ from $\mathbb{X}$ to $\mathbb{P}^d$ by

$$(a_0 : \cdots : a_n) \mapsto (a_0 : \cdots : a_d).$$

Notice that $(0 : \cdots : 0 : 1) \notin \pi(\mathbb{X})$. Hence, $|\pi(\mathbb{X})| = |P^d| - 1 = q + \cdots + q^d$ and by Theorem 3.1, there is a form $f$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x_0, \ldots, x_d]$ of degree bounded by $d$ which is non-vanishing with respect to $\pi(\mathbb{X})$. This form is naturally embedded into $\mathbb{F}_q[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$.

□

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let* $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ *and let* $d$ *be the biggest integer such that* $q^{n-d+2} + \cdots + q^n < |\mathbb{X}|$. *Then* $\mathrm{DegNz}(\mathbb{X}) \geq d$. *This bound is the best possible.*

*Proof.* Let $f$ be a form of degree $d-1$. By Lemma 2.1, the number of projective solutions is at least $1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-d+1}$. It follows that there are at most $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) - (1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-d+1}) = q^{n-d+2} + \cdots + q^n$ points where $f$ is non-vanishing. By assumption, $q^{n-d+2} + \cdots + q^n < |\mathbb{X}|$. Thus, there is a point $p$ in $\mathbb{X}$ such that $f(p) = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{DegNz}(\mathbb{X}) > d - 1$.

By Lemma 4.1, there is a point set $\mathbb{X}$ with $q^{n-d+2} + \cdots + q^n < |\mathbb{X}| = q^{n-d+1} + \cdots + q^n$ for which there is a non-vanishing form of degree at most $d$. Thus, the degree of the non-vanishing form in Lemma 4.1 must be equal to $d$. It follows that the bound is the best possible.

□

# References

[1] L. Adleman and H. Lenstra Jr, Finding irreducible polynomials over finite fields, STOC 1986, 350–355.

[2] S. Lang, On quasi algebraic closure, Ann. of Math. **2**, no. 55, 1952, 373–390.

[3] S. Lundqvist, Multiplication matrices and ideals of projective dimension zero, arXiv:0903.2028, 2009. Submitted to Journal of Symbolic Computation.

[4] E. Warning, Bemerkung zur vorstehenden Arbeit von Herr Chevalley, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **11**, 1936, 76–83.